

System breakdown “Mal’s Shorties”

Disclaimer

Bluebell Data (Malcolm Pett) does not accept any responsibility whatsoever for any loss that may be sustained as a result of the use (or misuse) of information contained in this report, irrespective of how that loss might be sustained.

Bluebell Data (Malcolm Pett) does not guarantee winnings and cannot be held liable for losses resulting from the use of information obtained from within this document or from our sites.

This report is for information only. In no way we are advising you to act on the report or to bet on live markets. Please seek proper advice if you are unsure what to do.

Warning

Betting can be very risky and users should only speculate with money that they can comfortably afford to lose and should ensure that the risks involved are fully understood, seeking advice if necessary.

The past does not equal the future.

Although the information in this report was gathered by using our in-house Horse Racing Database which we do everything to keep as accurate as possible we cannot guarantee 100% accuracy all the time because of the way information is received through our feeds.

Our past results do NOT in any way guarantee similar or like future results.

Please keep this in mind when reading the report.

Please gamble responsibly
<http://www.gambleaware.co.uk/>

Please read the extra disclaimer at the bottom of this report.

This report was created 26/01/2018 and reflects results up to the 25/01/2018

[“Mal’s Shorties”](#)

The idea behind this system is that I have brought together a number of systems that should select similar runners but by coming at them from it different angles.

By using some extra coding I can delete any repeats so we should end up with strong candidates.

To be honest I was trying to create a system with a higher strike rate and lower losing run...

...But each time I tried the stats and random tests didn't look as good.

The first thing you may notice about this system is that there are only about 8 months of results.

This doesn't bother me because racing changes all the time.

Jockeys come and go, Trainers come and go, courses change and mature and even training methods change and improve.

So, in a lot of cases recent results information is far more relevant than results 2,3 or more years back.

The only real reason for wanting more results is to have a larger sample of data on which to base your findings.

To me there doesn't seem any point in having more data if it is actually out of date!

With that all said this system has over 1600 selections which is a big pool of data anyway and the high “Archie score” of 60.54 demonstrates that it is enough.

This system is mainly made up of selections that are 1st and sometimes 2nd when the 11am prices are snapshotted and ranked within PR Ratings.

This generally means most selections will not be available until about 11:15.

Some selections maybe available earlier because one of the selections methods doesn't look at the 11am ranking..

[>>Special Limited Reader offer here<<](#)

Let's look at some statistics.

Total Selections:	Wins (Exp)	Total Losers	LLR	HBD	Strike Rate	PLLR	Profit (points)	ARCH	AWO	ROI
1688	640(495)	1048	13	22.5	37.91%	16	560.66	60.54	2.65	33.21

LLR - Longest Losing Run. HBD - Highest Bank Drawdown. AWO - Average Winning Odds.
ROI - Return on Investment. PLLR - Predicted Longest Losing Run.

Observations.

As already mentioned we have a nice large sample of 1688 selections.

The wins are far higher than the expected wins meaning this is probably not a random event.

To prove this the "Archie Figure" is very high at 60.54.

We have a high strike rate of 37.91% which so far has resulted in a Longest Losing run of 13 which is better than the predicated maximum of 16.

(Do keep this in mind).


Here are the month by month results showing how the prices and strike changes from month to month (More on this under trends)

Month By Month						
Month	Year	Selections	Wins	S/R	AWO	P/L
6	2017	188	77	40.96	2.7	86.53
7	2017	199	82	41.21	2.41	71.04
8	2017	203	79	38.92	2.62	72.42
9	2017	196	73	37.24	2.53	52.25
10	2017	229	86	37.55	2.7	77.41
11	2017	276	102	36.96	2.97	113.37
12	2017	229	72	31.44	2.57	18.75
1	2018	168	69	41.07	2.56	68.89
8 Months		211 APM				70.08 APM

>>Special Limited Reader offer here<<

The results trend graph.


This shows a steady climb with just one month (December) dipping down to under 20 points.



>>Special Limited Reader offer here<<

The Trends

Because this system doesn't use the normal PR Ratings system I can not show you the trend sheets as normal, but we can still analyse the monthly results to get the same information in a spreadsheet.



There has been a little bit of a trend downwards that actually went as low as 31.44 in December.

This month has shot right back up to around 41% which is good to see and it will be next month that gives us more information of what is happening to the strike rate.

With the average odds being around 2.65 we could see a drop to 28% and still see a profit.

>>Special Limited Reader offer here<<



The Average Winning Odds (AWO) have been fairly steady over the last 8 months with one month dropping to 2.41.

Our biggest danger will be seeing a Strike Rate drop and a price drop at the same time but that is the same with every system!

>>[Special Limited Reader offer here](#)<<

Random Testing.

First letter of the horse "A"

Total Selections:	Wins (Exp)	Total Losers	LLR	HBD	Strike Rate	PLLR	Profit (points)	ARCH	AWO	ROI
105	43(32)	62	9	12.14	40.95%	9	42.37	5.44	2.55	40.35

LLR - Longest Losing Run. HBD - Highest Bank Drawdown. AWO - Average Winning Odds.
ROI - Return on Investment. PLLR - Predicted Longest Losing Run.

Only 105 selections to go on which is why "Archie Score" is quite low although 5.4 is still acceptable at around 2%

We have 11 more wins than expected and interesting the LLR drops to 9.

Average winning odds has dropped slightly but the increase in average winning odds has compensated.

Day of the week "Wednesday".

Total Selections:	Wins (Exp)	Total Losers	LLR	HBD	Strike Rate	PLLR	Profit (points)	ARCH	AWO	ROI
334	126(99)	208	14	14	37.72%	12	133.46	10.62	2.85	39.96

LLR - Longest Losing Run. HBD - Highest Bank Drawdown. AWO - Average Winning Odds.
ROI - Return on Investment. PLLR - Predicted Longest Losing Run.

We have 334 selections which is a much better sample and shows us that on this particular day the stats are almost the same as the main system apart from 3 things.

1. The LLR has gone up in fact higher than the "Predicted". I am not sure quite what this means except that it is just slightly different on Wednesdays!
2. The "Archie Score" is lower but again this is because of a smaller sample and at 10.62 it's as good as anything.
3. The average winning price is better probably making Wednesdays a little more profitable than other days.

Which is shown by the Return On Investment (ROI) being higher at 39.96.

Random Month "October"

Total Selections:	Wins (Exp)	Total Losers	LLR	HBD	Strike Rate	PLLR	Profit (points)	ARCH	AWO	ROI
229	86(66)	143	9	11.75	37.55%	12	77.41	8.89	2.7	33.8

LLR - Longest Losing Run. HBD - Highest Bank Drawdown. AWO - Average Winning Odds.
ROI - Return on Investment. PLLR - Predicted Longest Losing Run.

This was a typical month and just about represents the whole system.

It did have a lower LLR than the system overall and was nowhere near the predicted especially if you take the overall of 16.

The "Archie Score" was lower but again acceptable.

Round up on testing.

I think the random testing shows a robust system which seems to stack up through our test.

If anything the only real thing to worry about is that on some occasions the LLR tends to go up but overall it appears to be about right.

>>[Special Limited Reader offer here](#)<<

Staking and recovery.

Using any form of recovery is going to be difficult when you have a potential losing run of 16.

To be honest in this case I would ride it out unless you want to increase your stake by a little after a loss.

The problem is that sometimes the odds can be low so you could go 13 losers and then get 1.5 winner.

And old friend of mine uses this method:

Increase your stake by your original stake after each loser.

So, if your stake was £2.00 it would look like this.

£2.00 lost

£4.00 lost

£6.00 lost

£8.00 Win

Your losses can add up quite quickly and the other thing he does is keep to the new stake (in this case £8.0) until he wins all his losses back.

I am not sure he would use this on a system that could potentially lose this often.

Here are some ideas you can look at:

<http://cyots.co.uk/malsshortsstaking.asp>

Using 1% of your bank and recalculating it after every win or loss seems the most plausible staking plan.

My suggestions would be to just place the bets at BSP (Betfair Starting Price) to get the best price unless you are using the bot then I would set it to about 20 seconds before the off.

>>Special Limited Reader offer here<<

Times to place bets.

The staking sheet that shows the results also gives you prices at different times of the day.

We are slightly restricted with this system because most of the selections are not available until after 11am.

Here are the results from 4 different time points over the last 3 months.

BSP

11	2017	276	102	36.96	2.97	113.37
12	2017	229	72	31.44	2.57	18.75
1	2018	206	78	37.86	2.56	61.77

11 am

11	2017	276	102	36.96	2.52	70.21
12	2017	229	72	31.44	2.49	13.54
1	2018	206	78	37.86	2.49	56.68

1pm (In the winter some early selections could be missed)

11	2017	247	92	37.25	2.7	80.54
12	2017	178	58	32.58	2.51	18.41
1	2018	195	74	37.95	2.68	67.39

10 min before the off.

11	2017	276	102	36.96	2.68	85.72
12	2017	225	70	31.11	2.44	7.56
1	2018	206	78	37.86	2.71	73.07

There is no doubt BSP is showing the most profit, but January saw a better price coming from 1pm and 10 min before the off.

Something you may want to keep an eye on.

>>Special Limited Reader offer here<<

I hope you found this document useful.

If you would like to get these continue to get these selections after the trial closes and by email...

[Then click here to find out more.](#)

<http://racing-selections.co.uk/page.asp?id=139>

Until Next Time

Malcolm

Archie Table (The higher the score the more likely the results are not based on chance)

Archie Score	Likelihood Of Chance
0.30	58% (bad)
0.50	48%
1.00	32%
1.50	22%
2.00	16%
2.50	11%
3.00	8%
3.50	6%
4.00	5%
4.50	3%
5.00	3%
5.50	2%
6.00	1%
6.50	1%
7.00	1%
7.50	1%
8.00	1% (Good)
8.50	0%
9.00	0%
9.50	0%
10.00	0%
10.50	0%
11.00	0%
11.50	0%
12.00	0%

READ THIS - IT'S IMPORTANT: Disclaimer: Online Betting is not appropriate for everyone. There is a substantial risk of loss associated with betting. Losses can and will occur. No system or methodology has ever been developed that can guarantee profits or ensure freedom from losses. No representation or implication is being made that using the information on this website will generate profits or ensure freedom from losses. Hypothetical performance results have many inherent limitations, some of which are described below. No representation is being made that any account will or is likely to achieve profits or losses similar to those shown. In fact, there are frequently sharp differences between hypothetical performance results and the actual results subsequently achieved by any particular betting program. One of the limitations of hypothetical performance results is that they are generally prepared with the benefit of hindsight. In addition, hypothetical betting does not involve financial risk, and no hypothetical betting record can completely account for the impact of financial risk in actual betting. For example, the ability to withstand losses or to adhere to a particular betting program in spite of betting losses are material points which can also adversely affect betting results. There are numerous other factors related to the markets in general or to the implementation of any specific betting program which cannot be fully accounted for in the preparation of hypothetical performance results and all of which can adversely affect actual betting results.

HYPOTHETICAL OR SIMULATED PERFORMANCE RESULTS HAVE CERTAIN LIMITATIONS. UNLIKE AN ACTUAL PERFORMANCE RECORD, SIMULATED RESULTS DO NOT REPRESENT ACTUAL BETTING. ALSO, SINCE THE BETS HAVE NOT BEEN EXECUTED, THE RESULTS MAY HAVE UNDER-OR-OVERCOMPENSATED FOR THE IMPACT, IF ANY, OF CERTAIN MARKET FACTORS, SUCH AS LACK OF LIQUIDITY. SIMULATED BETTING PROGRAMS IN GENERAL ARE ALSO SUBJECT TO THE FACT THAT THEY ARE DESIGNED WITH THE BENEFIT OF HINDSIGHT. NO REPRESENTATION IS BEING MADE THAT ANY ACCOUNT WILL OR IS LIKELY TO ACHIEVE PROFIT OR LOSSES SIMILAR TO THOSE SHOWN.

>>Special Limited Reader offer here<<